

Isokinetic Extension Strength Is Associated With Single-Leg Vertical Jump Height

Felix Fischer,* MSc, Cornelia Blank,† PhD, Tobias Dünnwald,† PhD, Peter Gföller,‡ MD, Elmar Herbst,§ MD, Christian Hoser,‡ MD, and Christian Fink,*‡|| MD

Investigation performed at Gelenkpunkt-Sports and Joint Surgery, Innsbruck, Austria

Background: Isokinetic strength testing is an important tool in the evaluation of the physical capacities of athletes as well as for decision making regarding return to sports after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction in both athletes and the lay population. However, isokinetic testing is time consuming and requires special testing equipment.

Hypothesis: A single-jump test, regardless of leg dominance, may provide information regarding knee extension strength through the use of correlation analysis of jump height and peak torque of isokinetic muscle strength.

Study Design: Cross-sectional study; Level of evidence, 3.

Methods: A total of 169 patients who underwent ACL reconstruction were included in this study. Isokinetic testing was performed on the injured and noninjured legs. Additionally, a single-leg countermovement jump was performed to assess jump height using a jump accelerometer sensor. Extension strength values were used to assess the association between isokinetic muscle strength and jump height.

Results: The sample consisted of 60 female (mean age, 20.8 ± 8.3 years; mean weight, 61.7 ± 6.5 kg; mean height, 167.7 ± 5.3 cm) and 109 male (mean age, 23.2 ± 7.7 years; mean weight, 74.6 ± 10.2 kg; mean height, 179.9 ± 6.9 cm) patients. Bivariate correlation analysis showed an association (r = 0.56, P < .001) between jump height and isokinetic extension strength on the noninvolved side as well as an association (r = 0.52, P < .001) for the involved side. Regression analysis showed that in addition to jump height (beta = 0.49, P < .001), sex (beta = -0.17, P = .008) and body mass index (beta = 0.37, P < .001) affected isokinetic strength. The final model explained 51.1% of the variance in isokinetic muscle strength, with jump height having the strongest impact (beta = 0.49, P < .001) and explaining 31.5% of the variance.

Conclusion: Initial analysis showed a strong association between isokinetic strength and jump height. The study population encompassed various backgrounds, skill levels, and activity profiles, which might have affected the outcome. Even after controlling for age and sex, isokinetic strength was still moderately associated with jump height. Therefore, the jump technique and type of sport should be considered in future research.

Keywords: ACL reconstruction; isokinetic testing; muscle strength; test battery; return to play

The Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine, 5(11), 2325967117736766 DOI: 10.1177/2325967117736766 © The Author(s) 2017

A rupture of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is one of the most common severe knee injuries in young and active patients. ^{12,36} A main challenge for the clinician during the rehabilitation period after ACL reconstruction (ACLR) is to decide when it is safe to return to competitive sports. ²¹ Several postoperative assessment methods, including laxity, strength, proprioception, and functional testing, exist to help make this decision. ^{1,15,17,30}

Functional testing has become increasingly popular in the past decade ^{17,29,30} and is commonly used to predict an athlete's ability to participate in sports. ¹⁷ To determine functional ability deficits in patients with ACL injuries, jumps for distance have been recommended. ²¹ In addition, the relationship between isokinetic testing and vertical jump tests has also been investigated. ^{21,35} However, even if athletes are cleared for unrestricted sports participation after ACLR, deficits in the performance of single-leg hop tests and quadriceps muscle strength are often present. ²⁹

This open-access article is published and distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - No Derivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/), which permits the noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction of the article in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. You may not alter, transform, or build upon this article without the permission of the Author(s). For reprints and permission queries, please visit SAGE's website at http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav.

^{||}Address correspondence to Christian Fink, MD, Gelenkpunkt–Sports and Joint Surgery, Olympiastraße 39, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria (email: c.fink@gelenkpunkt.com).

^{*}Research Unit of Orthopaedic Sports Medicine and Injury Prevention/ Institute for Sports Medicine, Alpine Medicine and Health Tourism/Private University of Health Sciences, Medical Informatics and Technology, Hall in Tirol, Austria.

[†]Institute for Sports Medicine, Alpine Medicine and Health Tourism/ Private University of Health Sciences, Medical Informatics and Technology, Hall in Tirol, Austria.

[‡]Gelenkpunkt-Sports and Joint Surgery, Innsbruck, Austria.

[§]Department of Orthopaedic Sports Medicine, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany.

One or more of the authors has declared the following potential conflict of interest or source of funding: Institutional support for this research was provided by CoRehab.

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Ethics Commission of the Medical University of Innsbruck (AN2016-0067).

P Value NS

<.001

<.001

.003

Age, y Height, cm

Weight, kg

Body mass index, kg/m²

${\bf Participant\ Demographics}^a$						
All (N = 169)	Female (n = 60)	Male (n = 109)				
22.4 + 8	20.8 + 8	23 2 + 8				

 167.7 ± 5

 61.7 ± 7

 21.9 ± 2

TABLE 1

 175.5 ± 9

 70.0 ± 11

 22.6 ± 2

Quadriceps strength has always been considered an essential aspect of rehabilitation after ACLR,20 and it is important to consider lower extremity muscle weakness and to implement strategies to improve lower extremity dynamic stability and strength within the rehabilitation protocol.³⁸

To detect these strength deficits, isokinetic dynamometry tests are commonly used to evaluate maximal force production after ACL injuries or ACLR.30 Although it is unknown whether strength is a risk factor for an ACL graft rupture, quadriceps and hamstring strength contribute to successful return to sports.20 Strength and power are important determinants of return to play in athletes, as strength deficits in knee extension and flexion lead to unnecessary stress on the ACL. 22,38,40 Therefore, isokinetic strength testing is an important aspect of the evaluation of the physical capacities in athletes, as power depends heavily on maximal strength. 42 In addition, isokinetic testing is used in decision making regarding return to sports after ACLR^{1,30} both in athletes and in the lay population. In this regard, a previous study found several relationships between leg extension power and jump test performance using a different testing device. 21 Although international knee experts stated more than 25 years ago that "adequate leg extension power" is a criterion for full weightbearing,³³ in a more recently published survey, only 41% of surgeons reported that strength tests contributed to their decision regarding an athlete's return to sports.³⁴ The decreased use of this criterion may be because isokinetic testing is time consuming and demands special testing equipment; many clinicians do not have access to isokinetic testing equipment because of limited space and a limited budget.²¹

It was hypothesized that a single-jump test, regardless of leg dominance, might provide information regarding knee extension strength through the use of correlation analysis of jump height and peak torque of isokinetic muscle strength. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare isokinetic leg extension muscle strength and jump height in patients after ACLR. An improved understanding of the usefulness of a single-jump test using a small testing device may provide additional information for clinicians to make return-to-play decisions if an isokinetic dynamometer is not available.

METHODS

A total of 169 patients who underwent ACLR at an average of 6.6 ± 3.4 months postoperatively were included in this retrospective cohort study (Table 1). Patients completed at least 1 isokinetic strength test and 1 functional test using a specific test battery that consisted of 7 functional tests. Tests were performed between the years of 2011 and 2015, and the same investigator conducted all tests. Before testing, participants were examined by an orthopaedic surgeon and cleared for functional testing with a subjective evaluation and objective clinical examination to determine knee and psychological readiness for proper testing. The study was approved by the Ethics Commission of the Medical University of Innsbruck (AN2016-0067) and was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki⁴³; the study staff was trained in good clinical practice, and all patients granted written informed consent for participation.

 179.9 ± 7

 74.6 ± 10

 23.0 ± 2

Isokinetic Testing

A standardized testing protocol was utilized for each patient. Patients were instructed regarding the use of the isokinetic testing machine and were allowed to become fully accustomed to the device before testing. Before testing, patients completed a 10-minute warm-up on a stationary bicycle, and if needed, they were allowed to perform individual dynamic stretching and jumping. The isokinetic testing protocol was based on the protocol described by Liebensteiner et al.²³ All tests were administered by the same researcher, who followed a standardized method for measuring peak extension and flexion torque using an isokinetic dynamometer (Con-Trex MJ; CMV AG). The testing protocol consisted of concentric quadriceps and hamstring contractions.²³ The patients started with the noninjured leg and performed 4 repetitions at an angular velocity of 60 deg/s. Angular velocity was automatically prescribed and controlled by the Con-Trex testing device software. After a 2-minute break, the same procedure was repeated for the injured leg. Peak extension torques of the uninjured leg were recorded and used for statistical analysis.

Functional Testing

The Back in Action (BIA) test battery (CoRehab) is designed for athletes in a healthy condition or in any phase of recovery after an injury. It measures balance, agility, speed, and dynamic strength with respect to normative data from a large group of healthy participants. The BIA indicator is an available option. The BIA test can be accomplished in 45 minutes and requires minimal equipment and a single room. It consists of the following subtests: (1) a 2-leg and (2) a 1-leg stability test, (3) 2-leg and (4) 1-leg

^aData are presented as mean ± SD. NS, not significant.

All (N = 169)Female (n = 60)Male (n = 109)P Value Single-leg vertical jump height, cm Involved 19.9 ± 5 16.9 ± 4 21.6 ± 5 < .001 Noninvolved 22.5 ± 5 19.4 ± 4 24.2 ± 5 <.001 2.6 ± 3 2.5 ± 2 2.6 ± 3 Bilateral difference NSIsokinetic extension strength at 60 deg/s, N·m 119.0 ± 28 Involved 147.7 ± 44 163.2 ± 43 <.001 Noninvolved 179.0 ± 46 148.5 ± 28 195.6 ± 45 <.001 Bilateral difference 31.3 ± 28 29.5 ± 22 32.3 ± 30 NS

TABLE 2 Jump Height and Isokinetic Extension Strength^a

countermovement jumps (with height and power calculations), (5) plyometric jumps, (6) speedy jumps, and (7) a quick feet test. 15,17 The single-leg countermovement jump was used to assess jump height, and values from both the injured and noninjured legs were included. Jump height and power calculations were recorded with a jump accelerometer sensor (CoRehab). The system has been shown to be accurate in the evaluation of the countermovement jump, reporting a mean error of 0.7 cm²⁶ compared with the Myotest system.^{4,6}

Statistical Analysis

All variables are displayed as descriptive data including the mean, SD, and proportion. Because of the sample size (>30) and based on the low values for skew and symmetry of the descriptive statistics, parametric tests were applied.³ Values from the injured and noninjured legs were included to assess the association between isokinetic muscle strength and jump height calculated for the single-leg countermovement jump using Pearson correlation analyses. Further correlation analyses were performed to evaluate the possible influence of age, sex, and body mass index (BMI) on jump height and isokinetic knee extension strength. If these correlations were statistically significant, subsequent regression analyses were performed to control for confounding variables, and the predictive value for extension strength was estimated. Effect sizes were classified as <0.3 (weak), ≥ 0.3 to <0.5 (moderate), and ≥ 0.5 (strong), according to the guidelines of Cohen.⁵ Stepwise linear regression analysis was conducted to analyze the predictive value of jump height on extension strength. In step 1, jump height was included; in step 2, age and sex were included; and BMI was included in step 3. Statistical significance was accepted for $P \leq .05$. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS Statistics for Windows (version 24.0; IBM Corp).

RESULTS

Jump height and isokinetic extension strength values for the 169 participants are displayed in Table 2. For the noninvolved side, bivariate correlation analysis showed an association (r = 0.56, P < .001) between jump height and isokinetic leg extension strength. Further regression analysis showed that in addition to jump height (beta = 0.49, P< .001), sex (beta = -0.17, P = .008) and BMI (beta = 0.37, P< .001) also had an impact on isokinetic strength. The final model explained 51.1% of the variance in isokinetic muscle strength. Jump height had the strongest impact on isokinetic strength and explained 31.5% of the variance. Sex and age explained 9.0% of the variance, and BMI explained 11.7% of the variance. Age turned nonsignificant after including BMI in the last step (Table 3).

For the involved side, bivariate correlation analysis showed an association (r = 0.52, P < .001) between jump height and isokinetic extension strength. Further regression analysis showed that in addition to jump height (beta = 0.47, P < .001), sex (beta = -0.19, P = .007) and BMI (beta = 0.33, P < .001) also had an impact on isokinetic strength. The final model explained 44.0% of the variance in isokinetic muscle strength. Jump height had the strongest impact on isokinetic strength and explained 27.1% of the variance. Sex and BMI explained 8.7% and 9.1% of the variance, respectively (Table 4). Formulas to predict leg extension strength were produced from regression analyses for the involved and noninvolved sides (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The main finding from the initial analysis of this study was an association between isokinetic strength and jump height for the noninvolved (r = 0.56) and involved (r = 0.52) sides. After controlling for age, sex, and BMI, isokinetic strength was still found to be associated with jump height (beta = 0.49, P < .001). Using the produced regression formula and 95% CIs, clinicians without access to an isokinetic testing system can potentially use the jump test to estimate leg extension strength in patients after ACLR. Isokinetic strength and jump tests are common in the evaluation of physical capabilities to detect functional deficits among patients after ACLR. 1,30

Several studies have found decreased knee strength during various rehabilitation periods after ACLR. 9,25,39 Additionally, considerable variance in strength values around the time of return-to-play clearance has also been reported.²¹ Further, greater bilateral strength differences were found in patients undergoing ACLR compared with a

^aData are presented as mean ± SD. NS, not significant.

TABLE 3 Results of Stepwise Linear Regression Analysis for Noninvolved Side^a

Variable	\mathbb{R}^2 Value b	B (95% CI)	Beta	P Value	F Value	df1	df2	VIF
Step 1	0.31			<.001	76.00	(1)	(165)	
Jump height		5.36 (4.13 to 6.56)	0.56	<.001				1.00
Step 2	0.40			<.001	12.36	(2)	(163)	
Jump height		4.57 (3.22 to 5.92)	0.48	<.001				1.42
Sex		-23.29 (-36.65 to -9.92)	-0.24	.001				1.38
Age		0.93 (0.21 to 1.66)	0.16	.012				1.13
Step 3	0.51			<.001	39.90	(1)	(162)	
Jump height		4.61 (3.40 to 5.83)	0.49	<.001				1.42
Sex		-16.52 (-28.71 to -4.33)	-0.17	.008				1.43
Age		0.25 (-0.44 to 0.93)	0.04	NS				1.25
Body mass index		7.39 (5.08 to 9.70)	0.37	<.001				1.18

^an = 167. NS, not significant; VIF, variance inflation factor.

TABLE 4 Results of Stepwise Linear Regression Analysis for Involved Side a

Variable	$\mathbb{R}^2\mathrm{Value}^b$	B (95% CI)	Beta	P Value	F Value	df1	df2	VIF
Step 1	0.27			<.001	61.67	(1)	(162)	
Jump height		4.56 (3.41 to 5.70)	0.53	<.001				1.00
Step 2	0.35			<.001	10.91	(2)	(160)	
Jump height		3.77 (2.48 to 5.05)	0.43	<.001				1.41
Sex		-24.34 (-37.46 to -11.21)	-0.27	<.001				1.35
Age		0.69 (-0.49 to 1.42)	0.12	.067				1.14
Step 3	0.44			<.001	26.48	(1)	(159)	
Jump height		4.06 (2.87 to 5.26)	0.47	<.001				1.42
Sex		-17.23 (-29.72 to -4.74)	-0.19	.007				1.41
Age		0.16 (-0.55 to 0.87)	0.03	NS				1.24
Body mass index		6.20 (3.82 to 8.58)	0.33	<.001				1.19

^an = 164. NS, not significant; VIF, variance inflation factor.

 ${\it TABLE~5} \\ {\it Multiple~Regression~Equations~for~Leg~Extension~Strength}^a$

	R Value	$\mathbb{R}^2\mathrm{Value}^b$	SE
Noninvolved = $4.612(X1) - 16.518(X2) + 0.246(X3) + 7.388(X4) - 74.801$	0.72	0.51	31.92
Involved = 4.064(X1) - 17.234(X2) + 0.157(X3) + 6.198(X4) - 53.820	0.67	0.44	32.58

^aSE, standard error; X1, jump height; X2, sex (1 = male, 2 = female); X3, age; X4, body mass index.

control group within the first year after surgery. ³⁸ In a study by Hsiao et al, ¹⁸ injured knees showed a 25% to 30% decrease in quadriceps and hamstring strength, with normal patterns of length-tension and force-velocity relationships, within the first 6 months after surgery compared with the uninjured knee. The results of the present study show comparable outcomes in terms of leg extension strength within the first year after surgery, with an extension strength deficit of 17.5% in the involved leg compared with the noninvolved leg. These knee extension asymmetries have been shown to persist 6 to 9 months after

surgery.⁴⁴ The literature suggests a side-to-side difference of less than 10% to 15% in muscle strength for patients returning to strenuous activities.³⁰ To achieve 90% of preinjury quadriceps and hamstring strength, as well as hopping performance after 2 years postoperatively, a combination of heavy-resistance strength training, plyometrics, and neuromuscular exercises is recommended.¹²

To evaluate a patient's functional status after ACLR, jump characteristics are often assessed using either a hop test for distance^{2,31} or vertical jump performance.^{21,28,35} Lower jump heights on the involved side compared with the

^bCorrected.

^bCorrected.

^bCorrected.

noninvolved side have been reported in a single 1-leg jump. 21,35 The results of the current study support these findings, showing a decreased jump height of 11.6% for the involved side compared with the contralateral side. The risk of injuries may be increased with persisting side-toside differences; therefore, jump height should be considered when evaluating an athlete's return to play.²⁸

Isokinetic testing and jump height have been found to be beneficial in the evaluation of a patient's rehabilitation progress after ACLR. 8,21,28 However, some clinicians may not have access to an isokinetic testing device. 21 Significant correlations between isokinetic knee extension strength and a single-leg jump test for distance 11 as well as for height³² have been found in asymptomatic patients. In patients with ACL injuries, positive correlations between knee strength and hop for distance, timed hop, crossover triple hop,⁴¹ and various jump tests for height²¹ have been reported. The results of the current study show a similar relationship among the involved and contralateral legs in a single 1-leg jump for patients who underwent ACLR. Based on the relationship between results from jump tests and isokinetic knee-strength testing, 11 assessing jump height with a jump sensor might be an alternative choice, albeit used with caution, when clinicians do not have access to an isokinetic testing system. However, the results of this study show that sex and BMI must be considered, as they confound the association between jump height and isokinetic extension strength and explain 20.7% and 17.8% of the variance in the noninvolved and involved sides, respectively. It has been concluded from the results of previous studies that other aspects, such as the patient's training level and age,²⁴ differences in muscle activation and knee joint power development, 19 and coordinative aspects, 37 should be considered when comparing isokinetic peak torques and jumping performance. 10 Finally, jump height can provide only a first indicator of strength, as 48.9% and 56.0% of variance in the noninvolved and involved sides, respectively, remain unexplained.

This study does have some limitations. Specifically, no record of sports participation and preferred jumping leg was considered; however, a previous study revealed that the uninvolved leg, regardless of dominance, can serve as a control when using dynamometric measurements in patients after knee ligament reconstruction.³⁵ The evaluation of the involved side requires critical consideration because the patients usually have been injured for some time and therefore probably have less than normal strength. 14 Testing the noninjured leg first may have led to altered results. A more sensitive classification of patients, such as <6 months, 6 to 9 months, and >9 months after the injury, might be considered; however, a previous study showed no association between time from surgery and functional deficits in athletes after ACLR. 28 The various backgrounds in terms of the skill level and activity profile of the study population might have influenced the outcomes.¹⁰ Therefore, the jump technique and type of sport should be considered in future research.

Clinically, adequate quadriceps strength is desirable, as more symmetrical quadriceps strength before return to play has been shown to substantially reduce the reinjury rate. 13 Decreased relative hamstring strength combined with high relative quadriceps strength may be a risk factor for ACL ruptures. 16,27 To detect symmetrical quadriceps strength, a side-to-side comparison of the involved and uninvolved sides is possible with a single-leg jump test.

CONCLUSION

Isokinetic muscle strength testing is a useful practice for the evaluation of recovery progression and can be employed in the determination of return to sports. For clinicians without access to an isokinetic testing system, assessing jump height with a test battery is an inexpensive and easy-to-use tool that may be utilized to estimate leg extension strength in patients after ACLR. The formula produced from this study to describe extension muscle strength may serve as a framework for future studies to implement and improve the model in order to simplify the evaluation process for clinicians regarding return to sports after ACLR.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank all of the study participants for their efforts. Special thanks to Helmut Pointner for performing isokinetic and functional testing.

REFERENCES

- 1. Björklund K, Sköld C, Andersson L, Dalén N. Reliability of a criterionbased test of athletes with knee injuries: where the physiotherapist and the patient independently and simultaneously assess the patient's performance. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2006; 14:165-175.
- 2. Borsa PA, Lephart SM, Irrgang JJ. Comparison of performancebased and patient-reported measures of function in anteriorcruciate-ligament-deficient individuals. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 1998;28:392-399.
- 3. Bortz J, Döhring N. Forschungsmethoden und Evaluation. 4th ed. Heidelberg: Springer Medizin Verlag; 2006.
- 4. Choukou MA, Laffaye G, Taiar R. Reliability and validity of an accelerometric system for jumping performance. Biol Sport. 2014;31:
- 5. Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. 2nd ed. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1988.
- 6. Comstock BA, Solomon-Hill G, Flanagan SD, et al. Validity of the Myotest® in measuring force and power production in the squat and bench press. J Strength Cond Res. 2011;25:2293-2297.
- 7. Cvjetkovic D, Bijeljac S, Palija S, et al. Isokinetic testing in evaluation rehabilitation outcome after ACL reconstruction. Med Arch. 2015;69:
- 8. Ericsson YB, Roos EM, Frobell RB. Lower extremity performance following ACL rehabilitation in the KANON-trial: impact of reconstruction and predictive value at 2 and 5 years. Br J Sports Med. 2013;47: 980-985.
- 9. Fischer F, Fink C, Herbst E, et al. Higher hamstring-to-quadriceps isokinetic strength ratio during the first post-operative months in patients with quadriceps tendon compared to hamstring tendon graft following ACL reconstruction [published online March 21, 2017]. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. doi:10.1007/s00167-017-4522-x.
- 10. González-Ravé JM, Juárez D, Rubio-Arias JA, Clemente-Suarez VJ, Martinez-Valencia MA, Abian-Vicen J. Isokinetic leg strength and power in elite handball players. J Hum Kinet. 2014;41:227-233.

- 11. Greenberger HB, Paterno MV. Relationship of knee extensor strength and hopping test performance in the assessment of lower extremity function. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 1995;22:202-206.
- 12. Grindem H, Granan L-P, Risberg MA, Engebretsen L, Snyder-Mackler L, Eitzen I. How does a combined preoperative and postoperative rehabilitation programme influence the outcome of ACL reconstruction 2 years after surgery? A comparison between patients in the Delaware-Oslo ACL Cohort and the Norwegian National Knee Ligament Registry. Br J Sports Med. 2015;49:385-389.
- Grindem H, Snyder-Mackler L, Moksnes H, Engebretsen L, Risberg MA. Simple decision rules can reduce reinjury risk by 84% after ACL reconstruction: the Delaware-Oslo ACL Cohort study. Br J Sports Med. 2016;50:804-808.
- Heijne A, Äng BO, Werner S. Predictive factors for 12-month outcome after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2009;19:842-849.
- Herbst E, Hoser C, Hildebrandt C, et al. Functional assessments for decision-making regarding return to sports following ACL reconstruction, part II: clinical application of a new test battery. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2015;23:1283-1291.
- Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR, Paterno MV, Quatman CE. Mechanisms, prediction, and prevention of ACL injuries: cut risk with three sharpened and validated tools. *J Orthop Res.* 2016;34:1843-1855.
- Hildebrandt C, Müller L, Zisch B, Huber R, Fink C, Raschner C. Functional assessments for decision-making regarding return to sports following ACL reconstruction, part I: development of a new test battery. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2015;23:1273-1281.
- Hsiao S-F, Chou P-H, Hsu H-C, Lue Y-J. Changes of muscle mechanics associated with anterior cruciate ligament deficiency and reconstruction. J Strength Cond Res. 2014;28:390-400.
- 19. Iossifidou A, Baltzopoulos V, Giakas G. Isokinetic knee extension and vertical jumping: are they related? *J Sports Sci.* 2005;23:1121-1127.
- Kyritsis P, Bahr R, Landreau P, Miladi R, Witvrouw E. Likelihood of ACL graft rupture: not meeting six clinical discharge criteria before return to sport is associated with a four times greater risk of rupture. Br J Sports Med. 2016;50:946-951.
- Laudner K, Evans D, Wong R, et al. Relationship between isokinetic knee strength and jump characteristics following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*. 2015;10:272-280.
- Lephart SM, Ferris CM, Riemann BL, Myers JB, Fu FH. Gender differences in strength and lower extremity kinematics during landing. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2002;(401):162-169.
- Liebensteiner MC, Krismer M, Koller A, Semenitz B, Mayr E. Does minimally invasive total knee arthroplasty improve isokinetic torque? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2012;470:3233-3239.
- Malliou P, Ispirlidis I, Beneka A, Taxildaris K, Godolias G. Vertical jump and knee extensors isokinetic performance in professional soccer players related to the phase of the training period. *Isokinet Exerc* Sci. 2003;11:165-169.
- Mattacola CG, Perrin DH, Gansneder BM, Gieck JH, Saliba EN, McCue FC. Strength, functional outcome, and postural stability after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Athl Train. 2002;37: 262-268.
- Milosevic B, Farella E. Wearable inertial sensor for jump performance analysis. In: WearSys'15. Proceedings of the 2015 Workshop on Wearable Systems and Applications. New York, NY: ACM; 2015: 15-20.
- Myer GD, Ford KR, Barber Foss KD, Liu C, Nick TG, Hewett TE. The relationship of hamstrings and quadriceps strength to anterior cruciate ligament injury in female athletes. Clin J Sport Med. 2009;19:3-8.

- Myer GD, Martin L, Ford KR, et al. No association of time from surgery with functional deficits in athletes after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: evidence for objective return-to-sport criteria. Am J Sports Med. 2012;40:2256-2263.
- Myer GD, Schmitt LC, Brent JL, et al. Utilization of modified NFL Combine testing to identify functional deficits in athletes following ACL reconstruction. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2011;41:377-387.
- Neeter C, Gustavsson A, Thomeé P, Augustsson J, Thomeé R, Karlsson J. Development of a strength test battery for evaluating leg muscle power after anterior cruciate ligament injury and reconstruction. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2006;14:571-580.
- Noyes FR, Barber SDS, Mangine RRE. Abnormal lower limb symmetry determined by function hop tests after anterior cruciate ligament rupture. Am J Sports Med. 1991;19:513-518.
- Pääsuke M, Ereline J, Gapeyeva H. Knee extension strength and vertical jumping performance in Nordic combined athletes. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2001;41:354-361.
- Paulos L, Noyes FR, Grood E, Butler DL. Knee rehabilitation after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and repair. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 1991;13:60-70.
- Petersen W, Zantop T. Return to play following ACL reconstruction: survey among experienced arthroscopic surgeons (AGA instructors). Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2013;133:969-977.
- Petschnig R, Baron R, Albrecht M. The relationship between isokinetic quadriceps strength test and hop tests for distance and 1-legged vertical jump test following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Orthop Sport Phys Ther. 1998;28:23-31.
- Raschner C, Platzer H-P, Patterson C, Werner I, Huber R, Hildebrandt C. The relationship between ACL injuries and physical fitness in young competitive ski racers: a 10-year longitudinal study. Br J Sports Med. 2012;46:1065-1071.
- Tessier J-F, Basset F-A, Simoneau M, Teasdale N. Lower-limb power cannot be estimated accurately from vertical jump tests. J Hum Kinet. 2013;38:5-13.
- Thomas AC, Villwock M, Wojtys EM, Palmieri-Smith RM. Lower extremity muscle strength after anterior cruciate ligament injury and reconstruction. J Athl Train. 2013;48:610-620.
- Vairo GL. Knee flexor strength and endurance profiles after ipsilateral hamstring tendons anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2014;95:552-561.
- Vairo GL, Myers JB, Sell TC, Fu FH, Harner CD, Lephart SM. Neuromuscular and biomechanical landing performance subsequent to ipsilateral semitendinosus and gracilis autograft anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2008; 16:2-14.
- Wilk KE, Romaniello WT, Soscia SM, Arrigo CA, Andrews JR. The relationship between subjective knee scores, isokinetic testing, and functional testing in the ACL-reconstructed knee. J Orthop Sport Phys Ther. 1994;20:60-73.
- Wisløff U, Castagna C, Helgerud J, Jones R, Hoff J. Strong correlation of maximal squat strength with sprint performance and vertical jump height in elite soccer players. *Br J Sports Med*. 2004;38:285-288.
- World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*. 2013;310: 2191-2194.
- 44. Xergia SA, Pappas E, Zampeli F, Georgiou S, Georgoulis AD. Asymmetries in functional hop tests, lower extremity kinematics, and isokinetic strength persist 6 to 9 months following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2013;43: 154-162.